Total Synthesis of Woodrosin I–Part 1: Preparation of the Building Blocks and Evaluation of the Glycosylation Strategy

Alois Fürstner,* Fabien Jeanjean, Patrick Razon, Conny Wirtz, and Richard Mynott^[a]

Abstract: The preparation of three building blocks required for the total synthesis of woodrosin I (1) is outlined, a complex resin glycoside bearing a macrolide ring which spans four of the five sugars of its oligosaccharide backbone. Key steps involve the enantioselective, titanium-catalyzed addition of dipentylzinc to 5-hexenal, the glycosylation of the resulting alcohol 18 with the glucose-derived trichloroacetimidate 7, and further elaboration of the resulting product 19 into disaccharide 22 on treatment with the orthogonally protected glycosyl donor 15. The trichloroacetimidate method is also used for the formation of the second synthon represented by disaccharide 38. A model study shows that the assembly of the pentasacchari-

Keywords: carbohydrates \cdot glyco-
function lipids · glycosides · macrolides · natural products

dic perimeter of 1 depends critically on the phasing of the glycosylation events between fragments 22, 38 and the rhamnosyl donor 27 due to the severe steric hindrance in the product. A particularly noteworthy finding is the fact that diol 22 can be regioselectively glycosylated at the 3-OH group in high yield without protection of the neighboring 2-OH

Introduction

Plants belonging to the morning glory family (Convolvulaceae) are known as rich sources of alkaloids and resin glycosides. Although chemical investigations on the latter have been initiated as early as the middle of the 19th century,[1] it was not until the advent of modern spectroscopic techniques that the amazing structures of such glycolipids could be elucidated. Resin glycosides are conjugates between complex oligosaccharide entities and (11S)-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (jalapinolic acid) as the common aglycon in virtually all members of this series. The latter is frequently tied back to form a characteristic macrolactone ring spanning two or more sugar units of the backbone.^[2-14] Further carboxylic acids may complement the peripheral acylation pattern.[14] The sophisticated molecular arrays thus formed exhibit a well-balanced hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity profile.[15]

The biological properties of resin glycosides are by no means fully understood. A closer examination of this family of natural products, however, seems to be rewarding, especially since many of them are isolated from plants that are essential ingredients of traditional medicine recipes.^[2-4, 8, 12, 13] In a few cases, purified resin glycosides have been studied and were

found to elicit effects as diverse as cytotoxicity against human cancer cell lines.^[2, 4b, 11, 12] hemolytic action.^[13b] antibacterial activity, $[2, 12]$ ionophoretic properties, $[13]$ purgative function,[4, 14] or a significant capacity for regulating plant growth.^[2, 17] A systematic survey, however, including a study of the immune response of mammals to resin glycosides is still missing.

To probe these diverse physiological properties in more detail, a synthesis-driven mapping of the structure/activity relationships is called for. Because of the difficulties posed by such intricate structures, however, hardly any systematic work has been devoted to this area and only few successful total syntheses have been reported.^[16-22] Most prominent among them are three independent approaches to the cytotoxic agent tricolorin A $(2)^{[20-22]}$ together with a synthesis of its congener tricolorin G (3) .^[22] Outlined below and in the following paper of this issue is the first total synthesis of woodrosin I (1) , [23] an ether-insoluble resin glycoside isolated from the stems of Ipomoea tuberosa L. (Merremia tuberosa (L.) Rendle), commonly called "woodrose" after the shape of its dried calyx.^[24-26] Compound 1 is certainly the structurally most demanding resin glycoside to be conquered by total synthesis so far. Particular challenges arise from the 27-membered macrolide ring spanning four glucose (Glc) units, the complementary acylation pattern at its periphery which seriously restricts the choice of temporary protecting groups, as well as the branched pentasaccharide backbone entailing severe steric hindrance at vicinal positions.

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, No. 1 © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/03/0901-0307 \$ 20.00+.50/0 $\overline{}$ 307

[[]a] Prof. A. Fürstner, Dr. F. Jeanjean, Dr. P. Razon, C. Wirtz, Dr. R. Mynott Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung 45470 Mülheim/Ruhr (Germany) Fax: $(+49)$ 208-306-2994 E-mail: fuerstner@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de

Results and Discussion

Retrosynthetic analysis: Encouraged by our previous successful implementation of ring closing metathesis (RCM)[27] in the total syntheses of 2 and 3 , $[22]$ it was envisaged that the cyclization of the 27-membered core of 1 could be achieved by the same method. To ensure maximum efficiency, it is essential to avoid the formation of stable chelates between the intermediate Lewis-acidic metal carbene species with the polar substituents of the substrate as such arrays seriously attenuate the activity of the catalysts used.[28, 29] This should be possible by targeting the C-6/C-7 bond within the aliphatic tether (Scheme 1).

Since the cycloalkene formed by RCM has to be hydrogenated in any case, it is advantageous to choose the residual protecting groups R such that they are cleaved off simultaneously. For this purpose benzyl ethers or benzylidene acetals seemed to be most appropriate. Because these groups do not anchimerically assist in the formation of the required β -glycosidic linkages between the individual glucose units,[30] it seemed necessary to block the 2-OH function of Glc" by a different substituent R' that would direct the glycosylation as desired yet could be removed without damaging the macrolactone. A chloroacetyl group should fulfill these stringent criteria.[31] The stereoselective formation of the other glycosidic linkages is ensured by the 6-heptenoic acid residue in the terminal glucose which later serves the RCM event, as well as by the residual methylbutyrate ester in the rhamnosyl donor as indicated in Scheme 1.

To gain maximum efficiency, the cyclization precursor ABC must be assembled in a highly convergent manner from rhamnose B and the two disaccharidic building blocks A and C. Since steric as well as stereoelectronic factors were expected to render the formation of the branching point in the pentasaccharide backbone rather difficult, it was not clear at the outset which order of glycosylation events is optimal $(A+B\rightarrow AB+C\rightarrow ABC$ versus $A+C\rightarrow AC+B\rightarrow ABC$). In any case, the trichloroacetimidate method^[30b, 32] seemed to be most promising for these strategic maneuvers.

Preparation of the required building blocks–Synthon A: The synthesis of the first disaccharidic building block representing

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis.

synthon A closely followed previous studies from our laboratory (Scheme 2).[33] Specifically, acetobromoglucose 4 was converted into orthoester 5 on a large scale following a literature procedure.[34] Substitution of the O-acetyl for O-benzyl groups $(5 \rightarrow 6)$,^[33, 34b] acid catalyzed hydrolysis of the orthoester followed by treatment of the mixture of acetates thus formed with $CI₃CCN$ and $Cs₂CO₃$ delivers the differentially protected trichloroacetimidate 7 as the only product in good yield.[35]

Alternatively, the same compound was prepared from commercially available tri-O-benzyl-p-glucal (8) , which was then dihydroxylated with $OsO₄$ cat. and N-methyl-morpholine-N-oxide (NMO) as the stoichiometric oxidant to afford 3,4,6-tri-O-benyzl-D-glucose (9) as the only product (Scheme 2).[36] Acetylation under standard conditions followed by regioselective cleavage of the anomeric OAc group in 10 with hydrazinium acetate^[37] furnished hemiacetal 11 which reacts with $CI₃CCN$ and $Cs₂CO₃$ to give glycosyl donor 7 in excellent overall yield.

[b] BnBr, KOH, THF, 81%. [c] Aq. HOAc, 93%. [d] Cl₃CCN, Cs₂CO₃, CH₂Cl₂, 92%. [e] OsO₄ cat., NMO, acetone/H₂O. [f] Ac₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 94% (over both steps). [g] $H_2NNH_2 \cdot HOAc$, DMF, 90%. [h] Cl₃CCN, Cs_2CO_3 , CH₂Cl₂, 83%.

The second glucosyl donor was derived from the well accessible 4,6-O-benzylidene acetal $12^{[38]}$ which was peracetylated, deprotected at the anomeric position and converted into trichloroacetimidate 15 (mixture of anomers) under standard conditions (Scheme 3).[22]

Scheme 3. [a] Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP cat., 96%. [b] BnNH₂, THF, then HCl (1N), 78%. [c] Cl₃CCN, Cs₂CO₃, CH₂Cl₂, 76%.

Compound 7 was then glycosylated with (6S)-undec-1-en-6 ol (18) which was obtained on a multigram scale in enantiomerically pure form (ee \geq 99%) upon addition of dipentylzinc to 5-hexenal (16) in the presence of a catalyst formed in situ from Ti $(OiPr)_4$ and bis-trifluoromethanesulfonamide (17)^[39] as previously described (Scheme 4).[22] Cleavage of the 2-Oacetyl group $(19 \rightarrow 20)$ set the stage for the formation of

Scheme 4. [a] $\text{Zn}(C_5H_{11})_2$, 17 (2 mol%), Ti(OiPr)₄, toluene, 86%, ee > 99%. [b] Compound 7, $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$, $CH_2Cl_2/hexane$, $-20\degree C$, 68%. [c] NaOMe cat., MeOH, quant. [d] Compound 15, $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$, CH_2Cl_2 / hexane, $-20\degree$ C, 82%. [e] NaOMe cat., MeOH, 88%.

disaccharide 21. For this purpose, trichloroacetimidate 15 was treated with alcohol 20 in the presence of catalytic amounts of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2/h exane at $-20^{\circ}C$ to give product 21 in 82% yield. Deacetylation completed the preparation of building block 22 required for the synthesis of woodrosin I.

Preparation of the rhamnosyl donor: Synthon B is obtained from allyl L-rhamnoside $23^{[40]}$ by regioselective benzylation at O-3 using established stannylation methodology (Scheme 5).[41] Esterification of the resulting product 24 with commercially available (2S)-methylbutyric acid in the presence of DCC and catalytic amounts of DMAP provided compound 25 which was deprotected at the anomeric position by means of palladium on charcoal in acidic MeOH as the reaction medium.[42] Hemiacetal 26 was then converted into trichloroacetimidate 27 on exposure to $Cl₃CCN$ and $Cs₂CO₃$.

Scheme 5. [a] i) Bu₂SnO, toluene, reflux; ii) CsF, BnBr, DMF, 72%. [b] $(2S)$ -Methylbutyric acid, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 89%. [c] Pd/C, pyridinum toluenesulfonate cat., MeOH, 80% . [d] Cl₃CCN, Cs₂CO₃, CH₂Cl₂, 92%.

Preparation of synthon C: Building block C was conceptually more challenging and various approaches can be envisaged. Originally, a synthesis based on the glycal assembly method was favored which promised to solve the selectivity issues quite elegantly.[43] Unfortunately, problems arose when the reactions were carried out on a larger scale. Therefore an alternative solution was developed which featured a useful but as yet largely unexplored aspect of the powerful trichloroacetimidate method pioneered by Schmidt.[32]

Specifically, our synthesis (Scheme 6) started from 3,4,6-tri- O -benzyl-p-glucose (9) which derives from p-glucal as outlined above. Esterification with 6-heptenoic acid in the presence of DCC and DMAP followed by regioselective deprotection of the anomeric position in 28 provided product 29 which converted into the trichloroacetimidate 30 without any problems.

Scheme 6. [a] 6-Heptenoic acid, DCC, DMAP cat., CH_2Cl_2 , 99%. [b] $H_2NNH_2 \cdot HOAc$, DMF, 83%. [c] Cl₃CCN, Cs₂CO₃, CH₂Cl₂, 87%.

The glucosyl acceptor was also prepared from p -glucal (Scheme 7). Regioselective silylation of the primary OH group with TBSCl and imidazole followed by esterification of the resulting product $31^{[44]}$ with (2S)-methylbutyric acid afforded product 32 under standard conditions and set the stage for a dihydroxylation with $OsO₄$ cat. and NMO. This reaction was found to be virtually quantitative and delivered the gluco-configured diol 33 as the only product, which was chloroacetylated to provide compound 34 in excellent overall yield.

Scheme 7. [a] (2S)-methylbutyric acid, DCC, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , 93%. [b] OsO₄ cat., NMO, acetone/H₂O (9:1), quant. [c] Chloroacetic acid anhydride, Et₃N, DMAP cat., CH₂Cl₂, $-20 \rightarrow -10^{\circ}$ C, 83%. [d] BF₃ · Et₂O, CHCl₃, 81%. [e] $H_2NNH_2 \cdot HOAc$, DMF, 79%.

To streamline the subsequent glycosylation events, it was desirable to cleave the OTBS group at C-6 and the chloroacetyl function at C-1. HF in pyridine was found to effect both deprotections simultaneously; unfortunately, however, only 48% of diol 36 could be obtained. Therefore a two-step protocol was favored comprising the selective removal of the OTBS function by means of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ in CHCl₃ at ambient temperature (82%) , [45] followed by treatment of the resulting

product 35 with hydrazinium acetate^[37] in DMF to unmask the anomeric center (79%).[46]

Gratifyingly, diol 36 thus obtained underwent a highly efficient, regio- as well as diastereoselective glycosylation with trichloroacetimidate 30 (Scheme 8). Because the anomeric position of the resulting disaccharide 37 was already

38: $R = C(=\text{NH})CCI_3$

Scheme 8. [a] $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O, CH_2Cl_2, -20\degree C, 86\%$. [b] $Cl_3CCN, Cs_2CO_3,$ CH_2Cl_2 , 54%.

unmasked, this compound was directly amenable to the preparation of the next glycosyl donor, that is product 38, which corresponds to building block C required for the assembly of woodrosin I. This smooth reiterability of the trichloroacetimidate method by using $1,\omega$ -unprotected sugars such as 36 is an as yet largely unexplored feature deserving further in-depth investigation. It also comes into play during the further elaboration of the backbone as described below.

Study on the phasing of the glycosylation events during the assembly of the pentasaccharide backbone: With synthons $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{C}$ in hand, two options for a highly convergent assembly of the metathesis precursor required en route to woodrosin $I(1)$ can be envisaged. In analogy to our previous total synthesis of tricolorin G (3),^[22] we first pursued the coupling of synthons **A** and B, followed by the attachment of the disaccharidic building block C to the trisaccharide thus formed.

To put this plan into practice it is necessary to block the more reactive 3-OH position of compound 22 prior to the introduction of the rhamnosyl moiety (Scheme 9). This was accomplished by reacting 22 with Bu₂SnO in toluene under reflux, followed by treatment of the resulting tin derivative with CsF and p-methoxybenzyl chloride in DMF at room temperature.[41] This transformation proceeded in excellent yield (82%) but provided a mixture of both possible regioisomers, slightly favoring the desired product (39 a:40 a 1:2) The site of p -methoxybenzylation was unambiguously determined by a full analysis of the NMR spectra of both isomers recorded at 600 MHz (cf. Table 1). Compounds 39 and 40 could be separated by recrystallization from $MeOH.^[47]$

Attachment of the rhamnose unit to compound 40 was achieved by reaction with trichloroacetimidate 27. This step, however, required considerable optimization since a very pronounced solvent effect was noticed. Specifically, attempted glycosylation in $CH₂Cl₂$ in the presence of TMSOTf afforded only 12% of the desired trisaccharide 41 and led to

Scheme 9. [a] i) Bu-SnO, toluene, reflux; ii) CsF, PMBCl, nBu/NI , DMF, 82% , $39:40$ 1:2. [b] Compound 27, TMSOTf, Et₂O/THF $(3:1)$, -20° C, 62%. [c] DDQ, CH₂Cl₂/H₂O $(19:1)$, 71%.

Table 1. Comparison of characteristic signals of the isomeric products 39b and 40b. Arbitrary numbering scheme as shown.

substantial amounts of by-products formed by cleavage of the OPMB group in 40. We reasoned that the use of a more Lewis-basic medium might sufficiently attenuate the reactivity of TMSOTf and hence alleviate the problem with the instability of this particular protecting group. In fact, if THF is chosen as the solvent, no cleavage of the OPMB ether is observed; the desired product 41 was formed in 53% yield together with a homodimer derived from the rhamnosyl donor 27. By using a mixture of Et_2O/THF (3:1)^[48] we were able to raise the yield of 41 to 62%. However, the only means of removing admixed traces of the dimer derived from 27 was preparative HPLC. The subsequent selective cleavage of the OPMB group in 41 proceeded smoothly using $DDQ^{[49]}$ providing trisaccharide 42 ready for further use.

Despite the obvious shortcomings of this route (formation of regioisomers during PMB protection, need for an HPLC separation), the elaboration of this AB-synthon 42 into the pentasaccharide perimeter of woodrosin I was investigated. In spite of considerable experimentation with different promoters and solvents, however, we were *unable* to attach donor 38 to the 3'-OH position of this compound. Either no reaction occurred or complete degradation of 42 was observed when more forcing conditions were imposed. This lack of reactivity is likely due to the very crowded situation in 42 rendering the 3'-OH group virtually inaccessible. Even glycosyl donors less complex than 38 could not be attached to this site.

Model studies for the AC/ABC strategy: As a consequence of this setback, it was vital for the ultimate success of this total synthesis project that the alternative phasing of the glycosylation events (i.e., $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC} + \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{ABC}$) would give access to the intact sugar backbone of woodrosin I.

The inherently higher reactivity of the 3- OH group in 22 became apparent during the installation of the PMB group described above. Therefore we envisaged using this diol directly in the next glycosylation step without recourse to protecting groups. However, since suspiciously few examples of selective glycosylation reactions of sugars with more than one hydroxy group have been reported in the literature,[50, 51] we decided to carry out some model studies to probe the viability of this concept prior to

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, No. 1 © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/03/0901-0311 \$ 20.00+.50/0 311

using the valuable synthon C. Gratifyingly though, the regioselective glycosylation of diol 22 worked exquisitely well as can be seen from the results depicted in Schemes 10 and 11. Thus, treatment of diol 22 with either compounds 30 or 15 as the chosen model donors in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ in CH_2Cl_2 at -20° C led to exclusive glycosylation at the 3'-OH position. No traces of isomeric compounds were detected and the desired products 43 and 45, respectively, were isolated in remarkably high yields.

Scheme 10. [a] Compound 30, TMSOTf cat., CH_2Cl_2 , $-20^{\circ}C$, 90%. [b] Chloroacetic acid anhydride, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 91%.

Next, we probed whether it would be possible to introduce a rhamnose unit at the adjacent site of such a compound (Scheme 11). Thus, product 45 was treated with trichloroacetimidate 27 in Et₂O/THF (3/1, see above) using catalytic amounts of TMSOTf as the promoter. Under these conditions, the desired product 46 was formed, albeit in rather low yield (35%).

Although this outcome was certainly far from optimal, it implied that the envisaged " $AC + B$ " strategy might provide access to the woodrosin I backbone and pave the way to this demanding target molecule. As will be reported in the

Scheme 11. [a] Compound 15, TMSOTf cat., CH_2Cl_2 , $-20^{\circ}C$, 77%. [b] Compound 27, TMSOTf cat., Et_2O/THF (3:1), 35%.

accompanying paper, this was indeed the case.^[24, 25] It is noteworthy, however, that the end game of this project turned out to be even more challenging than this model study might suggest. The unforeseen interference of one of the protecting groups first seemed to dash our hopes but finally fostered the success of our synthetic endeavors.

Experimental Section

General: All reactions were carried out under Ar in carefully dried glassware. The solvents used were purified by distillation over the drying agents indicated and were transferred under Ar: THF, Et₂O (Mg/ anthracene), CH_2Cl_2 (P_4O_{10}), MeCN, Et₃N (CaH₂), MeOH (Mg), DMF, DMA (Desmodur, dibutyltin dilaurate), hexane, toluene (Na/K). Flash chromatography: Merck silica gel 60 (230 - 400 mesh). IR: Nicolet FT-7199 spectrometer, wavenumbers in cm⁻¹. MS (EI): Finnigan MAT 8200 (70 eV), HRMS: Finnigan MAT 95. Melting points: Gallenkamp melting point apparatus (uncorrected). Optical rotation: Perkin Elmer 343 at $\lambda = 589$ nm (Na D-line). Elemental analyses: H. Kolbe, Mülheim/Ruhr. All commercially available compounds (Lancaster, Aldrich) were used as received. NMR: Spectra were recorded on BrukerDPX 300 or DMX 600 spectrometers in the solvents indicated; chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm relative to TMS, coupling constants (J) in Hz. The solvent signals were used as references and the chemical shifts converted to the TMS scale (CDC) ; $\delta_C = 77.0$ ppm; residual CHCl₃ in CDCl₃: $\delta_H = 7.24$ ppm; CD₂Cl₂: $\delta_C =$ 53.8 ppm; residual CH₂Cl₂ in CD₂Cl₂: $\delta_H = 5.32$ ppm). Where indicated, the signal assignments are unambiguous; the numbering scheme is arbitrary and is shown in the inserts. The assignments were based upon 1D and 2D spectra recorded using the following pulse sequences from the Bruker standard pulse program library: DEPT; COSY (cosygs and $\cos\theta$ (invietgssi) optimized for $^{1}J(C,H)$ = 145 Hz; HMBC (inv4gslplrnd) for correlations via ⁿJ(C,H); HSQC-TOCSY (invietgsml) using an MLEV17 mixing time of 120 ms.

O -(2- O -Acetyl-3,4,6-tri- O -benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl) trichloroacetimidate (7)

Method A: A solution of orthoester 6 (1.908 g, 3.66 mmol)^[34] in HOAc (24 mL) and $H₂O$ (6 mL) was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. For work-up, the mixture was diluted with $H_2O(30 \text{ mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, the combined organic phases were dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc $2:1 \rightarrow 1:1$), affording a colorless solid (1.676 g, 93 %) which consists of a mixture of three different acetates (characteristic signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum: $\delta = 95.5, 91.9, 90.2$ ppm).^[35] This mixture was directly used for the next step: A solution of this product (1.673 g, 3.40 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was treated with Cl₃CCN (682 µL, 6.80 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (238 mg, 0.73 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 14 h before it was adsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 4:1) to afford compound 7 as a colorless syrup $(1.998 \text{ g}, 92 \text{ %})$.^[52] $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +85.5$ (c = 2.4, CHCl₃); IR: $\tilde{v} = 3338, 3031, 2924, 1748, 1673, 1231,$ 1053, 795, 738, 698; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.56$ (s, 1H, NH), $7.33 - 7.16$ (m, 15 H), 6.52 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (dd, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 3.6, 1 H), $4.87 - 4.81$ (m, 2H), 4.76 (A part of AB, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.50 and 4.63 (AB, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.58 (B part of AB, $J = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.09 (m, 1H), 4.01 $(\text{ddd}, J = 10.0, 3.3, 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.87 \text{ (dd, } J = 10.0, 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.81 \text{ (dd, } J =$ 11.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 170.0, 161.0, 138.3, 137.9, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8,$ 127.7, 94.0, 91.1, 79.5, 77.1, 75.4, 75.3, 73.5, 73.4, 72.4, 67.9, 20.6; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{31}H_{32}Cl_3NO_7$ (636.96): C 58.46, H 5.06, Cl 16.70, N 2.20; found: C 58.36, H 5.07, Cl 16.77, N 2.20.

Method B: Hydrazinium acetate (280 mg, 3.05 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 10 (1.37 g, 2.56 mmol)^[53] in DMF (25 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at ambient temperature. A standard extractive work-up followed by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) afforded 2-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranose (11) as a mixture of anomers $(1.10 \text{ g}, 90\%)$.^[54] This product was used in the next step without further characterization: A solution of product 11 (974 mg, 1.98 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was treated with Cl₃CCN (400 µL, 3.96 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (440 mg, 1.39 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 14 h before it was adsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 4:1) to afford compound 7 as a colorless syrup (1.04 g, 83%). The analytical data are identical to those compiled above.

 $[(6S)-1-Undecen-6-y]$ 2-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (19): A solution of alcohol 18 (478 mg, 0.28 mmol)^[22] and $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (13.5 mL of a 0.25 M stock solution in CH₂Cl₂) in CH₂Cl₂/hexane (1:1, 34 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 3 h to a solution of imidate 7 $(2.14 \text{ g}, 3.37 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂/hexane $(1:1, 15 \text{ mL})$ at -20° C. After stirring for an additional 2 h, sat. aq. $NaHCO₃$ (60 mL) was added, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 90 \text{ mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with brine (60 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 9:1) to give product **19** (1.23 g, 68%) as a colorless solid. M.p. $40-41^{\circ}\text{C}$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +4.2$ $(c = 0.73, CH, Cl₂)$; IR (KAP): $\tilde{v} = 3064, 3031, 2929, 2859, 1750, 1640, 1497$, 1454, 1362, 1232, 1150, 1987, 1058, 1028, 911, 735, 698; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.35 - 7.24$ (m, 13H), $7.22 - 7.19$ (m, 2H), $5.85 - 5.71$ (m, 1H), 5.03 -4.92 (m, 3H), 4.81 -4.53 (m, 6H), 4.37 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz, 2H), $3.67 - 4.64$ (m, 2H), $3.55 - 3.44$ (m, 2H), $2.04 - 1.99$ (m, 2H), 1.61 - 1.19 (m, 12H), 0.92 - 0.83 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 169.4, 138.6, 138.3, 138.0, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5,$ 114.6, 100.8, 83.2, 80.6, 79.3, 78.2, 75.2, 75.0, 73.6, 73.5, 69.0, 34.9, 33.9, 33.6, 31.9, 24.9, 24.2, 22.6, 21.0, 14.1; MS (EI): m/z (%): 553 (1), 475 (1), 383 (12), 277 (8), 205 (7), 91 (100); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{40}H_{52}O_7$ (644.84): C 74.50, H 8.13; found: C 74.41, H 8.04.

 $[(6S)-1$ -Undecen-6-yl] 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (20): A solution of compound 19 (2.49 g, 3.86 mmol) and NaOMe (140 mg, 2.6 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (20 mL), the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 4:1) to give product **20** (2.30 g, quant.) as a colorless syrup. IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3487, 3064, 3030, 2931$, 2859, 1640, 1606, 1586, 1497, 1454, 1359, 1113, 1063, 1028, 996, 911, 735, 697; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.55 – 7.42 (m, 15 H), 6.02 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), $5.23 - 5.00$ (m, 5H), $4.79 - 4.73$ (m, 3H), 4.48 (d, $J =$ 7.6 Hz, 1 H), $3.92 - 3.60$ (m, 7 H), 2.25 (brs, OH), 2.25 (m, $2H$), $1.82 - 1.40$ (m, 12H), 1.07 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 138.3, 138.0, 137.8, 127.5, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 126.7, 113.6, 101.2, 83.8, 78.8, 76.9, 74.4, 74.3, 74.0, 73.9, 72.7, 68.5, 34.0, 33.1, 32.7, 31.2, 24.1, 23.7, 21.9, 13.12; MS (EI): m/z (%): 511 (2), 341 (3), 253 (3), 235 (4), 181 (6), 163 (10), 91 (100); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{38}H_{50}O_6$ (602.80): C 75.71, H 8.36; found: C 75.75, H 8.30.

Disaccharide 21: $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (3 mL of a 0.25 m stock solution in Et_2O) was added to a cooled (-20°C) solution of substrates **15** (1.25 g, 2.52 mmol)^[22] and 20 (1.96 g, 3.25 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (13.5 mL) and *n*-hexane (13.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at -20° C for 30 min and for 3 h at ambient temperature prior to the addition of sat. aq. $NaHCO₃$ (20 mL). A standard extractive work-up followed by flash chromatography (pentane/ EtOAc 84:16) afforded disaccharide 21 as a pale yellow syrup (1.93 g, 82 %). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -38.6$ (c = 0.7, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3067, 2926, 2858, 1756,$ 1640, 1455, 1239, 1100, 1064, 697; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 7.54$ 7.41 (m, 5H), 7.30 – 6.95 (m, 15H), 5.98 (ddt, $J = 17.1$, 10.2, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.45 $(t, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.32 - 5.24 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 5.21 \text{ (dq, } J = 14.4, 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.12$ $(dq, J = 10.2, 1.0 Hz, 1 H)$, 4.88 (AB, $J = 10.5 Hz, 2 H$), 4.77 and 4.59 (AB, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 2H), 4.47 (AB, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.30 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.11 $(dd, J=10.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (t, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.70-3.51 (m, 6H), 3.23$ $(m, 1H), 3.14$ (hex., $J = 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.19 $(m, 1H), 1.77$ (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), $1.68 - 1.26$ (m, 14H), 0.92 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 170.4, 169.7, 139.6, 138.9, 138.7, 137.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2,$ 127.9, 126.5, 114.7, 101.8, 101.3, 100.7, 85.5, 80.2, 79.3, 78.8, 75.7, 75.1, 73.9, 73.6, 72.5, 69.4, 69.0, 66.7, 35.1, 34.4, 33.7, 32.4, 25.3, 24.5, 23.1, 21.0, 20.9, 14.3; MS (EI): m/z (%): 767 (0.44) $[M^+ - 169]$, 336 (15), 335 (77), 275 (29), 181 (9), 169 (13), 149 (34), 107 (7), 91 (100), 55 (7), 43 (23); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₅₅H₆₈O₁₃ (937.12): C 70.49, H 7.31; found: C 70.34, H 7.26.

Disaccharide 22: A solution of disaccharide 21 (422 mg, 0.65 mmol) and NaOMe (35 mg, 0.65 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was stirred overnight. The solution was diluted with aq. sat. NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 60:40) affording product 22 as a colorless solid (136 mg, 88%). M.p. 82–83 °C; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -25.8$ ($c = 1.0$, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3451$,

3032, 2928, 2864, 1640, 1454, 1362, 1088, 748, 697; ¹ H NMR (600 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene): $\delta = 7.53 - 7.07$ (m, 20 H), 5.87 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, H-2), 5.25 (s, 1H, H-37), 5.13 (dq, $J = 16.9$, 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-1(Z)), 5.06 (ddt, $J =$ 10.3, 1.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, H-1(E)), 4.82 and 4.85 (AB, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 2 H, 23-O-CH₂Ph), 4.67 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1 H, H-31), 4.54 and 4.69 (AB, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 2 H, 24-O-CH₂Ph), 4.44 and 4.52 (AB, $J = 12.2$ Hz, 2H, 26-O-CH₂Ph), 4.35 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, H-21), 4.20 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-36a), 4.0 (m, 1H, H-24), 3.78 (dd, $J = 9.0$, 7.7 Hz, 1 H, H-22), 3.70 (m, 1 H, H-6), 3.65 (dd, $J =$ 11.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-26a), 3.62 (m, 1H, H-33), 3.61 (m, 1H, H-26b), 3.56 (m, 1H, H-23), 3.55 (br s, 1H, 32-OH), 3.55 (m, 1H, H-36b), 3.47 (ddd, J = 8.9, 7.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-32), 3.40 (t, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1H, H-34), 3.21 (m, 1H, H-35), 3.23 (m, 1H, H-25), 2.23 (br d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, 33-OH), 2.09 (m, 2H, H-3), 1.66 ± 1.51 (m, 8H, H-4,5,7,8), 1.36 (m, 2H, H-10), 1.31 and 1.36 (m, 2H, H-9), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene): $\delta = 139.05$ (d, C-2), 115.03 (t, C-1), 104.54 (d, C-31), 101.93 (d, C-21), 101.79 (d, C-37), 84.81 (d, C-23), 81.16 (d, C-34), 80.45 (d, C-22), 79.63 (d, C-6), 79.07 (d, C-24), 76.67 (d, C-32), 76.00 (t, O-23-CH2Ph), 75.39 (d, C-25), 74.76 (t, O-24-CH2Ph), 73.80 (t, O-26-CH2Ph), 73.45 (d, C-33), 69.33 (t, C-26), 69.01 (t, C-36), 67.42 (d, C-35), 35.42 (t, C-7), 34.57 (t, C-3), 34.19 (t, C-5), 32.53 (t, C-9), 25.45 (t, C-8), 24.72 (t, C-4), 23.21 (t, C-10), 14.43 (q, C-11), phenyl signals: 139.00, 138.96, 138.49, 138.40, 128.56, 128.53, 128.35, 126.86; MS (EI): m/z (%): 683 (0.3) $[M^+ - 169]$, 413 (7), 251 (21), 181 (10), 163 (8), 107 (14), 97 (6), 92 (9), 91 (100), 69 (6), 55 (7); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{51}H_{64}O_{11}$ (853.05): C 71.81, H 7.56; found: C 71.94, H 7.56.

Allyl 3-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (24): A mixture of allyl α -Lrhamnopyranoside (593 mg, 2.9 mmol)^[40] and dibutyltin oxide (512 mg, 2.9 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was refluxed for 3.5 h in a Dean-Stark apparatus. Powdered CsF (624 mg, 5.8 mmol) was added before the mixture was concentrated. The residue was suspended in DMF (10 mL), benzyl bromide (0.49 mL, 5.8 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. After concentration, the residue was triturated with CH_2Cl_2 , the mixture was filtered, and the solids were repeatedly washed with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined filtrates were washed with brine, the organic phase was concen-

trated, and the residue was purified by chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 3:2) to give pure 24 (490 mg, 72%) as a colorless syrup. To unambiguously determine the site of benzylation, a small sample of product 24 was acetylated $(Ac, O, pyridine)$ to afford allyl $2,4$ -di-O-acetyl-3-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (24 a) which exhibits the following analytical and spectroscopic data: $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ -39.5 ($c = 0.85$, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3440$,

3031, 2975, 2915, 1647, 1454, 1380, 1050, 739, 700; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.30 (dd, 1H, H-15), 7.25 (m, 2H, H-14,16), 5.87 (dddd, $J = 17.0$, 11.0, 6.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-8), 5.35 (dd, $J = 3.5$, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 5.26 (dt, $J = 17.0$, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, H-9(Z)), 2.12 (s, 3 H, H-11), 5.20 (dt, $J = 10.0$, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-9(E)), 5.01 (t, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.78 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.63 (d, $J = 12.2$ Hz, 1H, H-12a), 4.40 (d, $J =$ 12.2 Hz, 1 H, H- $12b$), 4.13 (ddd, $J = 13.0, 5.1, 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, H - $7a$), 3.97 (ddd, $J = 13.0, 6.0, 1.5$ Hz, 1H, H-7b), 3.82 (dd, $J = 10.0, 3.5$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.76 $(dt, J = 10.0, 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{H-5}), 2.00 \text{ (s, 3H, H-18)}, 1.18 \text{ (d, } J = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H},$ H-6); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 170.40$ (s, C-10), 169.95 (s, C-17), 137.98 (s, C-13), 133.39 (d, $J = 156$ Hz, C-8), 128.29 (d, $J = 160$ Hz, C-15), 127.64 (d, $J = 161$ Hz, C-14), 127.64 (d, $J = 161$ Hz, C-16), 117.70 (t, $J =$ 156 Hz, C-9), 96.85 (d, J = 171 Hz, C-1), 74.61 (d, J = 143 Hz, C-3), 72.46 (d, $J = 153$ Hz, C-4), 71.25 (t, $J = 143$ Hz, C-12), 68.54 (d, $J = 153$ Hz, C-2), 68.20 (t, $J = 143$ Hz, C-7), 66.57 (d, $J = 145$ Hz, C-5), 21.03 (q, $J = 130$ Hz, C-11), 20.91 (q, $J = 130$ Hz, C-18), 17.44 (q, $J = 128$ Hz, C-6); MS (EI): mlz $(%): 294 (0.3) [M⁺]$, 150 (7), 128 (8), 121 (4), 100 (4), 92 (9), 91 (100), 73 (5),

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, No. 1 © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/03/0901-0313 \$ 20.00+.50/0 313

71 (4), 65 (4), 41 (13); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{16}H_{22}O_5$ (294.34): C 65.29, H 7.53; found: C 65.32, H 7.54.

Allyl 2,4-di-O- $[(2S)$ -2-methylbutyryl]-3-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (25): A solution of diol 24 (1.11 g, 3.78 mmol), $(2S)$ -2-methylbutyric acid (1.65 mL, 8.3 mmol), DCC (3.2 g, 8.3 mmol) and DMAP (198 mg, 0.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (22 mL) was stirred overnight. MeOH (2 mL) was added to the solution followed by hexane (100 mL) after the mixture had been stirred for another 10 min. Filtration through a pad of Celite, concentration of the filtrate and flash chromatography of the residue (pentane/EtOAc 94:6) gave product 25 as a colorless syrup (1.55 g, 89%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -2.9$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 2972, 2936, 1742, 1457, 1382,$ 1137, 1075, 699; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.31 – 7.21 (m, 5H), 5.97 – 5.84 (m, 1H), 5.41 (dd, $J = 3.3$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dq, $J = 17.2$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dq, $J = 10.4$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (t, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.64 and 4.40 (AB, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.18 (ddt, $J = 12.9$, 5.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (ddt, $J = 12.9$, 6.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (dd, $J = 9.8$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), $3.87 - 3.77$ (m, 1H), $2.54 - 2.42$ (m, 1H), $2.39 - 2.27$ (m, 1H), $1.76 - 1.60$ (m, 2H), 1.54 - 1.34 (m, 2H), 1.20 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 176.1, 175.6, 137.8, 133.5, 128.1, 127.5, 127.4, 117.7, 97.0, 75.0, 72.0, 71.1, 68.3, 67.9, 66.8, 41.3, 40.9, 26.7, 26.5, 17.5, 16.7, 16.4, 11.7, 11.4; MS (EI): m/z (%): 462 (0.9) $[M^+]$, 210 (14), 196 (28), 168 (11), 126 (23), 112 (12), 91 (93), 85 (81), 57 (100), 41 (22), 29 (10); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{26}H_{38}O_7$ (462.58): C 67.51, H 8.28; found: C 67.36, H 8.34.

2,4-Di-O- $[(2S)$ -2-methylbutyryl]-3-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranose (26): A suspension of compound 25 (935 mg, 2 mmol), pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (187 mg, 0.4 mmol) and Pd/C (10% w/w, 40 mg) in MeOH (40 mL) was heated under reflux for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, the filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 80:20) to afford product 26 as a colorless syrup (680 mg, 80%). Mixture of anomers: $\alpha:\beta = 92:8$ as determined by ¹H NMR. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 15.5$ (c = 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (KAP): $\tilde{v} = 3448, 2971, 2937, 1741, 1457, 1180, 1148, 1092, 699$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, α -anomer): δ = 7.32 – 7.21 (m, 5H), 5.41 (dd, $J = 3.3, 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, $J = 3.8, 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.10 (t, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.65 and 4.42 (AB, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.09 – 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.93 (dd, $J = 9.8$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.55 - 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.40 - 2.29 (m, 1H), $1.77 - 1.60$ (m, 2H), $1.55 - 1.32$ (m, 2H), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.15 $(d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}), 1.12 (d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}), 0.88 (t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}), 0.87 (t,$ $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, α -anomer): $\delta = 176.3$, 175.7, 137.7, 128.1, 127.5, 127.4, 92.5, 74.4, 72.0, 71.1, 68.3, 67.0, 41.2, 40.9, 26.7, 26.5, 17.6, 16.6, 16.4, 11.6, 11.3; MS (EI): m/z (%): 422 (0.6) [M^+], 196 (24), 170 (12), 112 (24), 95 (12), 91 (70), 85 (100), 57 (95), 41 (11), 29 (11); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{23}H_{34}O_7$ (422.51): C 65.38, H 8.11; found: C 65.44, H 8.06.

 $O-(2,4-Di-O-[2S)-2-methylbutyryl]-3-O-benzyl-L-rhamnopy ranosyl)$ trichloroacetimidate (27): A slurry of compound 26 (333 mg, 0.79 mmol), Cl₃CCN (222 µL, 2.21 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (145 mg, 0.44 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 80:20) to give product 27 as a pale yellow syrup (408 mg, 92%). Ratio of anomers $\alpha:\beta = 92:8$ as determined by ¹H NMR. $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ -12.5 (c = 0.75, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3340, 2971, 2937, 1745, 1676, 1457,$ 1144, 973, 797; spectroscopic data of the α -anomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 8.66 (brs, 1H), 7.22 – 7.15 (m, 5H), 6.10 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dd, $J = 3.3$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 3.8$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (t, $J =$ 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.65 and 4.42 (AB, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2 H), 4.09 - 4.00 (m, 1 H), 3.93 $(dd, J = 9.8, 3.3 Hz, 1 H$), $2.55 - 2.43$ (m, 1H), $2.40 - 2.29$ (m, 1H), $1.77 - 1.60$ $(m, 2H)$, 1.55 – 1.32 $(m, 2H)$, 1.19 $(d, J = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.15 $(d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz},$ 3H), 1.12 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 176.3$, 175.8, 160.3, 137.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 95.5, 74.8, 71.9, 71.6, 70.0, 67.0, 41.6, 41.3, 27.1, 26.9, 17.8, 16.8, 16.6, 11.9, 11.6; MS (EI): m/z (%): 405 (1.6), 197 (14), 196 (60), 181 (15), 112 (59), 111 (14), 95 (37), 91 (89), 85 (98), 57 (100); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{25}H_{34}Cl_3NO_7$ (566.90): C 52.97, H 6.05; found: C 52.90, H 6.09.

1,2-Di-O-(6-heptenoyl)-4,5,6-tri-O-benzyl-D-glycopyranose (28): A solution of diol 9 (1.34 g, 3 mmol), 6-heptenoic acid (1.61 mL, 11.9 mmol), DCC (2.45 g, 11.9 mmol), and DMAP (76 mg, 0.6 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (17 mL) was stirred overnight. MeOH (1.5 mL) was added followed by hexane (80 mL) after the mixture had been stirred for another 20 min. Filtration of the mixture through a pad of Celite, evaporation of the filtrate, and flash chromatography of the residue (pentane/EtOAc 92:8) afforded compound 28 as a colorless syrup (1.97 g, 99%). Ratio of anomers $\alpha:\beta = 1.7:1$ as determined by NMR. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +51.8$ (c = 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3064$, 2928, 2861, 1751, 1640, 1497, 1454, 1155, 1079, 913, 698; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36 – 7.24 (m, H arom.), 7.18 – 7.13 (m, H arom.), 6.33 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, H-1, α -anomer), 5.85 – 5.67 (m, 6H), 5.63 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, H-1, β anomer), 5.16 (dd, $J = 9.1$, 8.3 Hz), 5.08 (dd, $J = 10.0$, 3.6 Hz), 5.04 - 4.91 (m) , 4.83 – 4.72 (m) , 4.69 – 4.47 (m) , 3.98 $(v.t, J = 9.4 \text{ Hz})$, 3.92 – 3.57 (m) , 2.36 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), $2.35 - 2.30$ (m), $2.25 - 2.13$ (m), $2.11 - 1.97$ (m), $1.70 - 1.52$ (m), 1.49 – 1.30 (m); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, α -anomer): $\delta = 172.4$, 171.6, 138.2, 138, 137.7, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 114.9, 114.7, 89.7, 79.9, 77.1, 75.3, 73.5, 73.1, 71.8, 67.9, 33.9, 33.3, 28.2, 28.1, 24.3, 24.2, 24.0; characteristic shift of the β -anomer: $\delta = 92.1$; MS (EI): m/z (%): 579 (3), 451 (7), 345 (7), 217 (4), 181 (5), 111 (11), 92 (8), 91 (100), 83 (9), 55 (17); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{41}H_{50}O_8$ (670.83): C 73.41, H 7.51; found: C 73.34, H 7.59.

 $2-O$ -(6-Heptenoyl)-4,5,6-tri- O -benzyl-D-glucopyranose (29): Hydrazinium acetate (326 mg, 3.57 mmol) was added at 45 °C to a solution of compound 28 (1.87 g, 2.79 mmol) in DMF (27 mL). Heating was discontinued after the hydrazine acetate had dissolved and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with water (50 mL) and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (40 mL) and brine (40 mL), dried ($Na₂SO₄$) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 77:23) to afford product 29 (1.30 g, 83%) as a colorless solid. Mixture of anomers, $\alpha:\beta = 1.7:1$ according to NMR. M.p. 100–101 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +50.1$ ($c = 1$, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3364, 3065, 2928, 2870, 1725, 1641, 1496, 1453, 1188,$ 1154, 911, 696; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36 – 7.24 (m), 7.17 – 7.12 (m), $5.82 - 5.68$ (m, $3H$), 5.42 (t, $J = 3.5$ Hz, H-1, α -anomer), $5.01 - 4.85$ (m), $4.84 - 4.73$ (m), $4.64 - 4.48$ (m), $4.10 - 4.02$ (m), $3.74 - 3.60$ (m), 2.90 (dd, $J =$ 3.4, 1.4 Hz), $2.38 - 2.19$ (m), $2.05 - 1.98$ (m), $1.66 - 1.56$ (m), $1.43 - 1.33$ (m); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, α -anomer): δ = 172.9, 138.4, 138.3, 137.9, 137.6, 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 114.7, 90.3, 79.7, 78.0, 75.3, 74.9, 73.5, 73.3, 70.0, 68.6, 33.9, 33.2, 28.1, 24.1; characteristic shift of the β -anomer: $\delta = 95.7$; MS (EI): m/z (%): 341 (2), 182 (2), 181 (6), 108 (2), 107 (3), 92 (8), 91 (100), 79 (3), 77 (3), 65 (4); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{34}H_{40}O_7$ (560.68): C 72.83, H 7.19; found: C 72.66, H 7.14.

O-[2-O-(6-Heptenoyl)-4,5,6-tri-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranosyl] trichloroacetimidate (30): A slurry of glucopyranose 29 (3.29 g, 5.87 mmol), Cl₃CCN (1.2 mL, 11.9 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (1.12 g, 3.53 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (50 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 80 mL), the combined organic phases were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/ EtOAc $90:10$) to give product 30 as a pale yellow syrup $(3.60 \text{ g}, 87\%)$. IR $(KBr): \tilde{v} = 3342, 3063, 2920, 2863, 1744, 1673, 1640, 1497, 1454, 1287, 1068,$ 913, 795; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.56 (s, 1H), 7.35 – 7.23 (m, 13H), $7.20 - 7.12$ (m, 2H), 6.54 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.80 - 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 10.0$, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 5.00 – 4.90 (m, 2H), 4.85 and 4.76 (AB, $J = 11.4$ Hz, 2H), 4.82 and 4.57 (AB, $J = 10.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.63 and 4.50 (AB, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.10 (t, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.04 - 3.99 (m, 1H), 3.91 - 3.84 (m, 1H), 3.81 (dd, $J = 11.1$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, $J = 11.1$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.21 - 2.16 (m, 2H), 2.04 - 1.95 (m, 2H), 1.61 - 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.41 - 1.30 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 172.6, 160.9, 138.2, 137.8, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7,$ 114.7, 94.0, 91.1, 79.5, 77.0, 75.4, 75.3, 73.5, 73.4, 72.2, 67.9, 33.9, 33.3, 28.2, 24.1; MS (EI): m/z (%): 345 (2), 252 (4), 250 (4), 181 (4), 111 (5), 92 (8), 91 (100), 83 (3), 55 (8), 41 (3); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{36}H_{40}Cl_3NO_7$ (705.06): C 61.33, H 5.72; found: C 61.19, H 5.80.

3,4-Di-O-[(2S)-2-methylbutyroyl]-6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-D-glucal

(32): A solution of diol 31 (5.31 g, 20.4 mmol),^[44] (2S)-2-methylbutyric acid (6.66 mL, 61.2 mmol), DCC (12.6 g, 61.2 mmol), and DMAP (0.5 g, 4.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (110 mL) was stirred overnight. MeOH (11 mL) was added followed by addition of hexane (400 mL) after the mixture had been stirred for another 20 min. Filtration through a pad of Celite, concentration of the filtrate and flash chromatography of the residue (pentane/EtOAc 98:2) afforded product **32** as a colorless syrup (8.15 g, 93%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -16.4$ $(c = 1.7, CHCl₃)$; IR (KAP): $\tilde{v} = 2964, 2935, 2880, 1738, 1650, 1463, 1252,$ 1141, 838, 778; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.45 (dd, J = 6.1, 1.2 Hz, $1\,\text{H}$), $5.33 - 5.24$ (m, $2\,\text{H}$), 4.78 (dd, $J = 6.1$, $3.1\,\text{Hz}$, $1\,\text{H}$), $4.12 - 4.06$ (m, $1\,\text{H}$), 3.83 and 3.78 (ddAB, $J = 11.5$, 5.7, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 2.43 - 2.29 (m, 2H), 1.75 -

1.60 (m, 2H), 1.52 - 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.12 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, $J =$ 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.92 – 0.86 (m, 15H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 176.2, 175.1, 146.1, 99.0, 77.5, 67.8, 67.3, 61.7, 41.3, 27.0,$ 25.9, 18.6, 16.6, 16.5, 11.7, 5.3; MS (EI): m/z (%): 371 (12), 269 (22), 167 (31), 160 (12), 159 (93), 97 (15), 85 (56), 75 (13), 73 (12), 57 (100); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{22}H_{40}O_6Si$ (428.63): C 61.65, H 9.41; found: C 61.56, H 9.35.

3,4-Di-O-[(2S)-2-methylbutyroyl]-6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-D-glucopyranose (33): A solution of $OsO₄$ (0.05 M in THF, 19 mL) was added to a solution of glucal 32 (8.15 g, 19.0 mmol) and 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide (2.66 g, 22.5 mmol) in acetone/ $H₂O$ (9:1, 110 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with sat. aq. $Na₂S₂O₃$ (50 mL). After stirring for 20 min the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 70:30) to yield diol 33 as a pale yellow syrup (8.80 g, quant.). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +88.8$ ($c = 1.05$, CHCl₃); IR $(KBr): \tilde{v} = 3463, 2966, 2931, 2881, 1748, 1463, 1257, 1145, 838, 778; \text{ }^{1}H NMR$ (300 MHz, [D₉]toluene, α -anomer): δ = 5.49 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (t, $J = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.04 - 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.73 - 3.65 (m, 2H), $3.53 - 3.45$ (m, 1H), 2.57 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), $1.78 - 1.58$ (m, 2H), $1.44 -$ 1.23 (m, 2H), 1.10 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 0.89 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H), 0.83 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 176.3, 175.3, 92.8, 73.6, 71.7, 70.7, 68.2, 62.7, 41.3, 27.1, 26.8, 26.1, 18.7, 16.7, 16.5, 11.8, 11.6, 5.2; MS (EI): m/z (%): 303 (10), 201 (12), 159 (16), 117 (12), 85 (48), 81 (10), 75 (23), 73 (16), 57 (100), 41 (13), 29 (13); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{22}H_{42}O_8Si$ (462.65): C 57.11, H 9.15; found: C 57.19, H 9.11.

1,2-Di-O-chloroacetyl-3,4-di-O-[(2S)-2-methylbutyroyl]-6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-D-glucopyranose (34): Triethylamine (12 mL, 85.80 mmol), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (301 mg, 2.20 mmol) and chloroacetic acid anhydride (9.65 g, 56.40 mmol) were added at $-20\degree$ C to a solution of diol 33 $(8.70 \text{ g}, 14.80 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (140 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h while keeping the temperature between -10 and -20° C. The excess of the anhydride was then hydrolyzed upon addition of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL), the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×150 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and water (100 mL), dried ($Na₂SO₄$) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 92:8) to afford product **34** as a pale yellow syrup (9.66 g, 83%, mixture of anomers). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +71.6$ $(c = 0.6, \text{CHCl}_3)$; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2965, 2936, 2881, 1776, 1751, 1463, 1256$ 1137, 838, 780; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, α -anomer): δ = 6.42 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.52 (t, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1 H), 5.25 (t, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1 H), 5.17 (dd, $J =$ 10.2, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 4.03 - 3.91 (m, 3H), 3.74 - 3.64 (m, 2H), 2.40 $-$ 2.28 (m, 2H), 1.74 $-$ 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.50 $-$ 1.34 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, $J =$ 7.3 Hz, 6H), $0.92-0.82$ (m, 15H), 0.04 (s, 3H), 0.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, α -anomer): δ = 175.7, 174.6, 166.2, 165.7, 90.7, 73.3, 71.0, 69.2, 66.9, 61.2, 40.7, 40.6, 40.5, 40.1, 26.3, 25.7, 18.2, 16.2, 16.1, 11.4, 11.3, -5.5 ; MS (EI): m/z (%): 559 (15), 557 (20), 277 (15), 247 (17), 159 (61), 151 (13), 109 (27), 85 (75), 75 (12), 73 (11), 57 (100); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{26}H_{44}Cl_2O_{10}Si$ (615.61): C 50.73, H 7.20; found: C 50.83, H 7.31.

1,2-Di-O-chloroacetyl-3,4-di-O-[(2S)-2-methylbutyroyl]-D-glucopyranose

(35): $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (0.49 mL, 3.4 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 34 (363 mg, 0.59 mmol) in CHCl₃ (18 mL). The mixture was stirred for 2 h before being neutralized with sat. aq. NaHCO₃. After extraction with CH_2Cl_2 (320 mL) the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 70:30) to give product 35 as a colorless solid (239 mg, 81%, mixture of anomers). M.p. 79–80°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +84$ ($c = 1.0$, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3463, 2970, 2936, 2876, 1771, 1736, 1463, 1264, 1181, 1133,$ 1024; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, α -anomer): δ = 6.43 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.60 (t, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (t, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, $2H$), $4.03 - 3.91$ (m, $3H$), $3.75 - 3.52$ (m, $2H$), $2.45 - 2.28$ (m, 3H), 1.73 – 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.51 – 1.33 (m, 2H), 2.20 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 2.14 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 176.1, 175.5, 166.2, 165.69, 90.6, 72.8, 71.0, 68.4, 67.4, 60.6, 40.8, 40.7, 40.5, 40.1, 26.4, 16.2, 11.5, 11.3; MS (EI): m/z (%): 181 (11), 127 (6), 97 (6), 86 (6), 85 (100), 77 (8), 57 (93), 56 (4), 41 (9), 29 (9); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{20}H_{30}Cl_2O_{10}$ (501.35): C 47.91, H 6.03; found: C 48.49, H 6.38.

2-O-Chloroacetyl-3,4-di-O-[(2S)-2-methylbutyroyl]-D-glucopyranose (36): Hydrazinium acetate (65 mg, 0.72 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 35 (302 mg, 0.6 mmol) in DMF (5.5 mL). After stirring for 10 min, the reaction was quenched with water (10 mL) and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 3:2) to give product 36 as a colorless syrup (202 mg, 79%, mixture of anomers). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +60.3$ ($c = 1.9$, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3456, 2971, 2939, 2880, 1748, 1464, 1262, 1183, 1151,$ 1087, 1052; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, α -anomer): δ = 6.43 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (t, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (t, $J =$ 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.99 - 3.91 (m, 3H), 3.75 - 3.52 (m, 2H), 2.45 -2.28 (m, 3H), $1.74 - 1.54$ (m, 2H), $1.51 - 1.34$ (m, 2H), 1.10 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $3H$); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 176.4$, 175.6, 166.8, 89.7, 74.8, 73.0, 69.4, 68.6, 61.1, 40.8, 40.5, 26.4, 16.2, 11.5, 11.4; MS (EI): m/z (%): 233 (4), 175 (5), 98 (11), 97 (5), 86 (6), 85 (100), 81 (5), 57 (76), 41 (8), 29 (7); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{18}H_{29}ClO_9$ (424.87): C 50.88, H 6.88; found: C 50.74, H 6.86.

Disaccharide 37: BF₃ \cdot Et₂O (8.8 mL of a stock solution, $c = 0.25$ M in CH_2Cl_2) was added to a solution of imidate 30 (3.10 g, 4.4 mmol) and diol **36** (1.50 g, 3.67 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) at -20 °C. After stirring 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. $NaHCO₃$ (60 mL), the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×80 mL), the combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 77:23) to give product 37 as a colorless syrup (3.70 g, 86%). Mixture of anomers: $\alpha:\beta = 7:3$ as determined by HPLC (125 mm Nucleosil-5-100-C18/A, Ø2.0 mm, MeCN/H₂O 85:15, 0.2 mLmin⁻¹, 6.0 MPa, 313 K; α -anomer: 12.24 min retention time; β anomer: 10.90 min retention time). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +27.1$ ($c = 0.85$, CHCl₃); IR (KAP) : $\tilde{v} = 3470, 3064, 2966, 2934, 1747, 1640, 1497, 1455, 1362, 1150, 1071,$ 913, 737; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , characteristic and resolved signals of

the α -anomer): $\delta = 5.55$ (t, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 1H, H-23), 5.43 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H, H-21), 4.91 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H, H-22), 4.36 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H, H-11), 4.10 and 4.03 (d, 2H, H-32); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, major isomer): δ = 175.81 (s, C-33), 175.40 (s, C-38), 172.78 (s, C-7), 166.84 (s, C-31), 139.00 (d, C-2), 114.72 (t, C-1), 101.59 (d, C-11), 90.03 (d, C-21), 83.18 (d, C-13), 78.16 (d, C-14), 75.25 (d, C-15), 73.30 (d, C-22), 73.15 (d, C-12), 69.53 (d, C-23), 69.26 (t, C-16), 68.97 (d, C-24), 68.64 (t, C-26), 68.44 (d, C-25), 41.15 (d, C-34), 41.11 (t, C-32), 41.11 (d, C-39), 34.30 (t, C-6), 33.76 (t, C-3), 28.74 (t, C-4), 26.76 (t, C-35), 26.76 (t, C-40), 24.57 (t, C-5), 16.45 (q, C-42), 16.41 (q, C-37), 11.76 (q, C-41), 11.56 (q, C-36), phenyl signals: 138.71, 138.49, 138.27, 128.0 - 128.8; MS (ESI) m/z : 989 [M^+ +Na]; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{52}H_{67}ClO_{15}$ (967.53): C 64.55, H 6.98; found: C 64.48, H 6.90.

Disaccharide 38: A solution of compound 37 (325 mg, 0.34 mmol), $CI₃CCN$ $(71 \text{ uL}$, 0.71 mmol) and Cs₂CO₂ (64 mg, 0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (5 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 84:16) to give imidate **38** as a colorless syrup (202 mg, 54%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +21.5$ ($c = 2.3$, CHCl₃); 1H NMR (300 MHz CD.Cl. characteristic signals): $\delta = 8.65$ (s 1 H NH) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, characteristic signals): δ = 8.65 (s, 1H, NH), 6.49 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (ddt, $J = 17.1$, 10.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (t, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 176.1, 175.6, 172.9, 167.1,$ 161.5, 139.5, 139.1, 139.0, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2,

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, No. 1 © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/03/0901-0315 \$ 20.00+.50/0 3155

Disaccharides 39 and 40: A mixture of substrate 22 (1.43 g, 1.7 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (0.41 g, 1.7 mmol) in toluene (8 mL) was heated under reflux for $4.5 h$ in a Dean-Stark apparatus. Powdered CsF $(0.51 g,$ 3.4 mmol) was added before the mixture was concentrated. The residue was suspended in DMF (8 mL), p-methoxybenzyl chloride (0.45 mL, 3.4 mmol) and tetra-*n*-butylammonium iodide $(0.06 \text{ g}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ were introduced, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. After concentration, the residue was triturated with CH_2Cl_2 , the mixture was filtered, the solid residues were carefully rinsed with $CH₂Cl₂$ the combined filtrates were washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. The crude material thus obtained was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 82:18) to afford a mixture of the regioisomers 39 a and 40 a $(1.32 \text{ g}, 82 \text{ %})$ in a 1:2 ratio (NMR and HPLC).

These products can be separated by crystallization: For this purpose, the mixture was suspended in MeOH (20 mL), the suspension was filtered, the solid residue was dissolved in the minimum amount of MeCN. Product 40 a was crystallized by keeping this solution in a refrigerator overnight. The resulting material was recrystallized again from MeCN, thus affording compound **40 a** in > 99% purity. M.p. $138-139^{\circ}\text{C}$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -24.8$ ($c = 1.2$, CH_2Cl_2); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3472, 2914, 2871, 1616, 1516, 1452, 1516, 1096, 1064,$ 698; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₈]toluene): δ = 7.57 (m, 1 H, H-39), 7.32 (m, 1 H, H-44), $7.20 - 7.08$ (m, 19H), 6.76 (m, 1H, H-45), 5.88 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.1, 6.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 5.13 (dq, $J = 16.9$, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1(Z)), 5.06 (ddt, $J = 10.2$, 1.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-1(E)), 4.90 (d, $J=11.7$ Hz, 1H, H-42a), 4.86 (d, $J=$ 11.7 Hz, 1 H, H-42b), 4.85 (s, 2 H, 23-OC H_2 Ph), 4.70 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-31), 4.55 and 4.72 (AB, $J = 11.4$ Hz, 2H, 24-OCH₂Ph), 4.44 and 4.52 (AB, $J = 12.2$ Hz, 2H, 26-OCH₂Ph), 4.36 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H, H-21), 4.23 (dd, $J =$ 10.3, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-36a), 3.80 (dd, $J = 7.8$, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, H-22), 3.70 (m, 1 H, H-6), 3.70 (m, H-32), 3.65 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-26a), 3.61 (m, H-26b), 3.59 (m, H-23), 3.59 (m, H-24), 3.56 (m, H-33), 3.55 (m, H-34), 3.44 $(d, J = 2.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{OH})$, 3.34 (s, 3H, H-47), 3.24 (m, 1H, H-25), 3.20 (m, 1H, H-35), 2.10 (m, 1H, H-3), 1.69 - 1.30 (m, 12H, H-4,5,7,8,9,10), 0.94 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, H-11); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene): $\delta = 159.64$ (s, C-46), 139.09 (d, C-2), 139.05 (s, C-38), 131.83 (s, C-43), 129.67 (d, C-44), 126.72 (d, C-39), 115.01 (t, C-1), 113.87 (d, C-45), 104.97 (d, C-31), 101.94 (d, C-21), 101.56 (d, C-37), 84.81 (d, C-23), 81.81 (d, C-34), 80.75 (d, C-22), 79.96 (d, C-33), 79.60 (d, C-6), 79.10 (d, C-24), 76.89 (d, C-32), 76.05 (t, 23- OCH₂Ph), 75.39 (d, C-25), 74.75 (t, 24-OCH₂Ph), 74.15 (t, C-42), 73.80 (t, 26-OCH2Ph), 69.36 (t, C-26), 69.12 (t, C-36), 67.25 (d, C-35), 54.60 (q, C-47), 35.44 (t, C-7), 34.59 (t, C-3), 34.20 (t, C-5), 32.55 (t, C-9), 25.46 (t, C-8), 24.73 (t, C-4), 23.22 (t, C-10), 14.43 (q, C-11), phenyl signals obscured by signals of $[D_8]$ toluene; MS (EI): m/z (%): 803 (0.4) $[M^+ - 169]$, 211 (4), 181 (7), 163 (4), 137 (4), 122 (9), 121 (100), 107 (5), 91 (64), 83 (4) 55 (5); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{59}H_{72}O_{12}$ (973.20): C 72.81, H 7.46; found: C 72.75, H 7.37.

For analytical purposes, small samples of 39a and 40a were acetylated (Ac₂O, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂). The NMR spectra of the acetates 39b and 40b allow the site of p-methoxybenzylation in these products to be determined beyond doubt. A comparison of relevant signals of these isomers is given in Table 1.

Trisaccharide 41: TMSOTf (360 µL of a stock solution, $c = 0.014$ M in Et₂O) was added to a cooled $(-20^{\circ}C)$ solution of trichloroacetimidate 27 (326 mg, 0.58 mmol) and disaccharide 40 (306 mg, 0.31 mmol) in Et₂O/THF (3:1, 3.2 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. Quenching of the reaction with sat. aq. $NaHCO₃$ (5 mL) followed by a standard extractive work-up and flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc, $92.8 \rightarrow 86.14$) afforded a mixture containing trisaccharide 41 and the homodimer derived from the rhamnosyl donor 27 (346 mg, 9:1 ratio as determined by HPLC). This mixture was separated by preparative HPLC (column: 125 mm N-5-C18/A, \varnothing 2.0 mm, MeCN/H₂O 99:1, 0.2 mL min⁻¹, 4.0 MPa, 313 K; dimers derived from 27: 3.62 and 4.03 min retention time, compound 41: 23.21 min retention time) to give pure **41** as pale yellow syrup (269 mg, 62 %). $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ -5.6 (c = 1.8, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3091, 2971, 2937, 1741, 1461, 1378,$

1180, 1092, 749; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.51 (m, 1H, H-39), 7.40 (m, 1H, H-40), 7.39 (m, 1H, H-41), 7.29 (m, 1H, H-44), 6.86 (m, 1H, H-45), 5.90 (ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.59 (s, 1H, H-37), 5.51 (dd, $J =$ 3.3, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, H-52), 5.34 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H, H-51), 5.09 (dq, $J = 17.0$, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, H-1(Z)), 5.06 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1 H, H-31), 5.02 (t, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, H-54), 5.00 (m, 1H, H-1(E)), 4.89 (s, 2H, 23-OCH₂Ph), 4.75 and 4.85 (d) each, $J = 10.7$ Hz, 1H each), 4.67 and 4.80 (d each, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 1H each, 24-OCH₂Ph), 4.56 and 4.63 (d each, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 26-OCH₂Ph), 4.50 (dq, $J =$ 9.9, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, H-55), 4.35 (dd, $J = 10.5$, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-36a), 4.27 (d, $J =$ 7.7 Hz, 1 H, H-21), 3.91 and 4.42 (d each, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1 H each, H-62), 3.85 $(dd, J=9.7, 3.3 Hz, 1 H, H=53$, 3.80 (dd, $J=7.7, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, H=22$), 3.77 (m, 1H, H-33), 3.77 (s, 3H, H-47), 3.76 (m, 1H, H-36b), 3.75 (m, 2H, H-26), 3.70 (t, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H, H-34), 3.65 (m, 1H, H-23), 3.65 (m, 1H, H-32), 3.62 $(t, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H-24}), 3.57 \text{ (m, 1 H, H-6)}, 3.38 \text{ (dt, } J = 9.4, 2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H},$ H-25), 3.31 (ddd, $J = 9.4$, 9.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-35), 2.46 (m, 1H, H-58), 2.29 (m, 1H, H-64), 2.11 (m, 2H, H-3), 1.66 and 1.53 (m, 2H, H-4), 1.53 (m, 2H, H-5), 1.52 and 1.65 (m, 2H, H-7), 1.47 and 1.69 (m, 1H each, H-59), 1.37 (m, 2H, H-8), 1.33 and 1.59 (m, 1H each, H-65), 1.31 (m, 2H, H-10), 1.29 (m, 2H, H-9), 1.21 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H, H-56), 1.14 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H, H-61), 1.04 $(d, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}, \text{H-67}), 0.90 \text{ (t, } J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}, \text{H-11}), 0.87 \text{ (t, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz},$ 3H, H-60), 0.78 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, H-66); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 175.85$ (s, C-57), 175.54 (s, C-63), 156.81 (s, C-46), 139.63 (d, C-2), 138.04 (s, C-38), 130.63 (d, C-44), 130.60 (s, C-43), 129.25 (d, C-41), 128.56 (d, C-40), 126.41 (d, C-39), 114.85 (t, C-1), 114.05 (d, C-45), 102.19 (d, $J =$ 156 Hz, C-21), 101.48 (d, $J = 162$ Hz, C-37), 100.98 (d, $J = 165$ Hz, C-31), 98.96 (dd, J = 175, 5 Hz, C-51), 86.79 (d, C-23), 82.68 (d, C-34), 81.80 (d, C-6), 81.17 (d, C-33), 78.99 (d, C-24), 77.62 (d, C-32), 76.96 (d, C-22), 76.04 (d, C-53), 75.84 (t, C-29), 75.43 (d, C-25), 74.91 (t, C-28), 74.56 (t, C-42), 73.88 (t, C-27), 72.66 (d, C-54), 71.42 (t, C-62), 69.43 (t, C-26), 69.14 (t, C-36), 67.82 (d, C-52), 66.86 (d, C-55), 66.28 (d, C-35), 55.50 (q, C-47), 41.78 (d, C-64), 41.29 (d, C-58), 35.18 (t, C-7), 34.49 (t, C-3), 33.94 (t, C-5), 32.45 (t, C-9), 27.10 (t, C-59), 26.99 (t, C-65), 25.27 (t, C-8), 24.23 (t, C-4), 23.09 (t, C-10), 17.01 (q, C-67), 16.65 (q, C-61), 17.93 (q, C-56), 14.29 (q, C-11), 11.89 (q, C-66), 11.62 (q, C-60); MS (EI): m/z (%): 775 (12), 406 (13), 405 (51), 297 (12), 195 (16), 181 (11), 121 (100), 91 (80), 85 (46), 57 (20); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{82}H_{104}O_{18}$ (1377.69): C 71.49, H 7.61; found: C 71.32, H 7.54.

Trisaccharide 42: DDQ (22 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 41 (109 mg, 0.08 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (17:1, 990 µL). After stirring for 3 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (5 mL) and brine (5 mL) , dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pen-

tane/EtOAc 94:6 \rightarrow 86:14) to give product **42** (71 mg, 71 %) as a pale yellow syrup. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -11.9$ ($c = 2.3$, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3458, 3065, 2969, 2934$, 1742, 1498, 1455, 1365, 1142, 1076, 698; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = $7.49 - 7.00$ (m, 25 H), 5.91 (ddt, $J = 17.1$, 10.3 , 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.51 (s, 1 H), 5.48 $(m, 1H)$, 5.33 (dd, J = 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.12 - 4.96 $(m, 4H)$, 4.89 (AB, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.78 and 4.63 (AB, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.55 (AB, $J = 12.7$ Hz, 2H), $4.44 - 4.24$ (m, 5H), 3.97 (d, $J = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.84 - 3.92 (m, 2H), $3.82 - 3.54$ (m, 7H), 3.47 (t, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.28 (dt, $J = 9.7$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (brs, OH), 2.45 (hex., $J = 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.28 (hex., $J =$ 6.9 Hz, 1H), $2.12 - 2.01$ (m, 2H), $1.71 - 1.24$ (m, 3 H), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 1.03 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 0.92 – 0.83 (m, 6H), 0.77 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 176.1, 175.6, 139.7, 138.9, 138.8, 138.6, 138.3, 137.8, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 126.6, 114.8, 114.1, 102.2, 102.0, 100.9, 98.8, 86.7, 81.7, 81.3, 78.9, 78.6, 77.1, 76.0, 75.9, 75.8, 75.4, 75.3, 75.0, 73.9, 72.6, 71.5, 69.4, 69.1, 67.9, 67.1, 66.2, 41.7, 41.3, 35.2, 34.5, 33.9, 32.4, 27.1, 27.0, 25.3, 24.2, 23.1, 17.9, 17.0, 16.6, 14.3, 11.9, 11.6; MS (EI): m/z (%): 655 (6), 406 (5), 405 (20), 196 (6), 181 (8), 107 (6), 91 (100), 85 (42), 83 (5), 57 (32), 55 (5); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{74}H_{96}O_{17}$ (1257.54): C 70.68, H 7.69; found: C 70.56, H 7.78.

Trisaccharides 43 and 44: TMSOTf (200 μ L of a stock solution, $c = 0.014$ M in CH_2Cl_2) was added to a solution of imidate 30 (102 mg, 0.14 mmol) and diol 22 (100 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.2 mL) at -20° C. After stirring for 3 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. $NaHCO₃(5 mL)$ and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc, 78/22) to give product 43 as a colorless syrup (149 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 7.33 - 7.07$ (m, 35H), 5.90 (brs, OH), 5.75 (ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (ddt, $J =$ 17.0, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.22 - 5.19 (m, 2H), 4.97 - 4.30 (m, 18H), 4.15 (dd, $J = 10.4$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (t, $J = 9$ Hz, 1H), 3.64 - 3.18 (m, 16H), 2.05 - 1.83 (m, 6H), 1.48 - 1.16 (m, 16H), 0.80 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 172.8, 139.3, 138.9, 138.8, 138.7, 138.6, 138.5, 138.3, 137.9, 129.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 126.6, 114.8, 114.7, 104.4, 102.0, 101.3, 100.8, 84.2, 83.3, 81.1, 80.0, 79.8, 79.6, 79.0, 78.2, 76.2, 75.8, 75.3, 75.2, 75.1 (2x), 75.0, 73.8, 73.7, 73.6, 69.3, 69.1, 69.0, 67.3, 35.0, 34.4, 34.3, 33.8, 33.6, 28.7, 25.11, 24.6, 24.5, 23.1, 14.4, 14.3; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{85}H_{102}O_{17}$ (1395.71): C 73.15, H 7.37; found: C 73.09, H 7.33.

To determine the site of glycosylation unambiguously, this product was further analyzed after conversion of a small sample into chloroacetate 44 on treatment with chloroacetic acid anhydride and pyridine. Compound 44

gave the following spectroscopic properties: $H NMR$ (600 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene, fully resolved signals only): $\delta = 7.59 - 7.05$ (m, 35 H, Ph), 6.02 (ddt, J 17.0, 10.2, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 5.70 (ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1 H, H-56), 5.24 $(dq, J=17.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-1(Z)), 5.17 (ddt, J=10.2, 1.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H,$ H-1(E)), 5.16 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H, H-31), 4.98 (dq, $J = 17.0$, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-57(Z)), 4.94 (ddt, $J = 10.2$, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, H-57(E)), 4.75 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H, H-41), 4.31 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, H-21), 4.16 (dd, $J = 10.4$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-36a), 3.98 (t, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1H, H-33), 3.94 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H, H-39), 3.87 $(dd, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H-22}, 3.75 \text{ (dd, } J = 12.8, 11.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H-46a}), 3.54 \text{ (t, }$ $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1H, H-43), 3.50 (m, 1H, H-45), 3.40 (dd, $J = 9.5$, 9.1 Hz, 1H, H-44), 2.47 (dt, $J = 16.2$, 7.4 Hz, 1H, H-52a), 2.17 (dt, $J = 16.2$, 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-52b); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 171.97$ (s, C-51), 165.58 (s, C-38), 139.39 (d, C-2), 138.74 (d, C-56), 115.19 (t, C-1), 114.77 (t, C-57),

101.63 (d, C-37), 101.39 (d, C-21), 100.81 (d, C-41), 100.25 (d, C-31), 86.05 (d, C-23), 83.61 (d, C-43), 79.85 (d, C-6), 79.54 (d, C-34), 79.01 (d, C-24), 78.50 (d, C-22), 78.47 (d, C-44), 77.47 (d, C-33), 76.26 (d, C-45), 76.07 (d, C-32), 75.44 (t, 23-OCH2Ph), 75.26 (d, C-25), 74.88 (t, 44-OCH2Ph), 74.75 (t, 24-OCH₂Ph), 74.60 (t, 43-OCH₂Ph), 74.01 (t, 46-OCH₂Ph), 73.78 (26-OCH2Ph), 73.41 (d, C-42), 69.87 (t, C-46), 69.27 (t, C-26), 68.82 (t, C-36), 67.24 (d, C-35), 41.04 (t, C-39), 35.38 (t, C-7), 34.59 (t, C-3), 34.20 (t, C-5), 34.13 (t, C-52), 33.86 (t, C-55), 32.52 (t, C-10), 28.78 (t, C-54), 25.56 (t, C-8), 24.67 (t, C-53), 24.64 (t, C-4), 23.20 (t, C-9), 14.43 (q, C-11), phenyl signals: 139.18, 139.12, 139.01, 139.00, 138.98, 138.89, 138.20, 128.65, 128.54, 128.52, 128.51, 128.48, 128.45, 128.02, 127.85, 127.69, 126.94.

Trisaccharide 45: TMSOTf (200 µL of a stock solution, $c = 0.014$ M in CH_2Cl_2) was added to a solution of imidate 15 (71 mg, 0.14 mmol) and diol 22 (100 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL) at -20 C. After stirring for 3 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. $NaHCO₃$ (5 mL) and the aqueous phase was extracted with $CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 7:3) to give product 45 (106 mg, 77%) as a colorless solid. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -48.0$ ($c = 0.51$, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KAP): $\tilde{v} =$ 3431, 3065, 3033, 2929, 2868, 1755, 1640, 1497, 1454, 1370, 1243, 1219, 1097,

1030, 915, 750, 699; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₈]toluene): δ = 7.75 – 7.06 (m, 25 H, Ph), 5.86 (ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.1, 6.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 5.44 (dd, $J = 9.5$, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-43), 5.29 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, H-42), 5.20 (s, 1 H, H-37), 5.13 (ddt, $J = 17.0$, 2.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-1(Z)), 5.07 (ddt, $J = 10.1$, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, H-1(E)), 5.03 (s, 1 H, H-47), 4.93 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, H-41), 4.84 (s, 2 H, 23-OCH₂Ph), 4.64 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H, H-31), 4.53 and 4.66 (AB, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H, 24-OCH₂Ph), 4.43 and 4.51 (AB, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H, 26-OCH₂Ph), 4.36 $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H-21}), 4.23 \text{ (dd, } J = 10.3, 5.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H-36a}), 4.10 \text{ (dd,)}$ $J = 10.3, 5.0$ Hz, 1 H, H-46a), 3.78 (t, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1 H, H-33), 3.75 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-22), 3.70 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, 32-OH), 3.65 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.65 $(dd, J=11.1, 4.4 Hz, 1 H, H-26a), 3.61$ (m (overlapped), 1H, H-24), 3.60 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-26b), 3.60 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-32), 3.59 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-44), 3.58 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-23), 3.53 (t, J 10.2 Hz, 1 H, H-36b), 3.50 (t, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-46b), 3.44 (t, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H, H-34), 3.30 (dt, $J = 10.0$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-45), 3.23 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-25), 3.22 (m (overlapped), 1H, H-35), 2.09 (m, 2H, H-3), 1.81 (s, 3H, - OC(O)CH₃), 1.73 (s, 3H, -OC(O)CH₃), 1.70 - 1.50 (m, 8H, H-4,5,7,8), 1.40 $-$ 1.30 (m, 4H, H-9,10), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, H-11); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 138.98$ (d, C-2), 115.09 (t, C-1), 105.09 (d, C-31), 101.55 (d, C-47), 101.78 (d, C-21), 101.78 (d, C-37), 101.60 (d, C-41), 84.42 (d, C-23), 81.34 (d, C-22), 80.26 (d, C-33), 80.16 (d, C-34), 79.47 (d, C-6), 79.13 (d, C-24), 78.29 (d, C-44), 76.36 (d, C-32), 75.95 (t, 23-OCH2Ph), 75.35 (d, C-25), 74.74 (t, 24-OCH₂Ph), 73.81 (t, 26-OCH₂Ph), 73.70 (d, C-42), 72.79 (d, C-43), 69.26 (t, C-26), 68.99 (t, C-36), 68.90 (t, C-46), 67.37 (d, C-35), 66.53 (d, C-45), 35.38 (t, C-7), 34.54 (t, C-3), 34.19 (t, C-5), 32.51 (t, C-10), 25.43 (t, C-8), 24.80 (t, C-4), 23.21 (t, C-9), 14.44 (q, C-11), acetyl signals: 169.50 (s), 169.399 (s), 20.53 (q), 20.31 (q), phenyl signals: 138.94, 138.86, 138.34, 138.29, 138.08, 138.29, 138.08, 128.93, 128.57, 128.53, 128.25, 128.19, 126.76, 126.75; MS (EI): m/z (%): 335 (44), 275 (12), 181 (12), 149 (33), 127 (13), 107 (14), 105 (11), 91 (100), 69 (11), 43 (24); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{68}H_{82}O_{18}$ (1187.37): C 68.78, H 6.96; found: C 68.72, H 6.95.

Acknowledgement

Generous financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Leibniz award to A.F.) and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Mrs. K. Radkowski for her assistance at various stages of this project.

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, No. 1 © 2003 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/03/0901-0317 \$ 20.00+.50/0 317

FULL PAPER A. Fürstner et al.

- [1] a) J. F. W. Johnston, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* 1840 , 130 , $341 -$ 360; b) G. A. Kayser, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1844, 51, 81-105; c) W. Mayer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1855, 95, 129-176; d) F. B. Power, H. Rogerson, J. Chem. Soc. Trans. 1912, 101, 1-26; e) C. Mannich, P. Schumann, Arch. Pharm. Ber. Dtsch. Pharm. Ges. 1938, 276 , $211 - 226$.
- [2] Tricolorin family: a) R. Pereda-Miranda, R. Mata, A. L. Anaya, D. B. M. Wickramaratne, J. M. Pezzuto, A. D. Kinghorn, J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 571 - 582; b) M. Bah, R. Pereda-Miranda, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 13063-13080; c) M. Bah, R. Pereda-Miranda, Tetrahedron 1997, $53,9007 - 9022$
- [3] Simonins: N. Noda, S. Yoda, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 3163 - 3168.
- [4] Orizabins: a) N. Noda, M. Ono, K. Miyahara, T. Kawasaki, M. Okabe, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3889 - 3902; b) B. Hernández-Carlos, R. Bye, R. Pereda-Miranda, J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 1096-1100.
- [5] Multifidins: M. Ono, F. Honda, A. Karahashi, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 45, 1955-1960.
- [6] Stoloniferins: a) N. Noda, N. Takahashi, K. Miyahara, C.-R. Yang, Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 837-841; b) N. Noda, N. Takahashi, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, C.-R. Yang, Phytochemistry 1994, 36, 365-371.
- [7] Operculins: a) M. Ono, M. Nishi, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1990, 38, 2986-2991; b) M. Ono, T. Fukunaga, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1990, 38, 2650-2655; c) M. Ono, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, $3209 - 3213$
- [8] Tuguajalapins: N. Noda, K. Tsuji, K. Miyahara, C.-R. Yang, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1994, 42, 2011 - 2016.
- [9] Mammosides: a) I. Kitagawa, K. Ohashi, N. I. Baek, M. Sakagami, M. Yoshikawa, H. Shibuya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 45, 786-794; b) I. Kitagawa, N. I. Baek, K. Ohashi, M. Sakagami, M. Yoshikawa, H. Shibuya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1131-1133.
- [10] Quamoclins: M. Ono, K. Kuwabata, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 2674-2680.
- [11] Soldanelline: a) E. M. M. Gaspar, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 369-373; b) E. M. M. Gaspar, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6861-6864.
- [12] a) W. F. Reynolds, M. Yu, R. G. Enriquez, H. Gonzalez, I. Leon, G. Magos, M. L. Villareal, J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1730-1734; b) R. G. Enriquez, I. Leon, F. Perez, F. Walls, K. A. Carpenter, F. V. Puzzuoli, W. F. Reynolds, Can. J. Chem. 1992, 70, 1000-1008.
- [13] See the following for leading references on further families of resin glycosides: a) J. K. MacLeod, A. Ward, P. B. Oelrichs, J. Nat. Prod. 1997, 60, 467-471; b) I. Kitagawa, N. I. Baek, K. Kawashima, Y. Yokokawa, M. Yoshikawa, K. Ohashi, H. Shibuya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 1680-1692; c) N. Noda, K. Tsuji, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, H. Hanazono, C.-R. Yang, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1995, 43, 1061 ± 1063; d) N. Noda, H. Kobayashi, K. Miyahara, T. Kawasaki, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 920 - 929; e) I. Kitagawa, N. I. Baek, Y. Yokokawa, M. Yoshikawa, K. Ohashi, H. Shibuya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 1693-1699; f) Y. Fang, W. Chai, S. Chen, Y. He, L. Zhao, J. Peng, H. Huang, B. Xin, Carbohydr. Res. 1993, 245, 259-270.
- [14] Short review of the early work: H. Wagner, Nobel Symp. 1974, 25, $235 - 240.$
- [15] Although most resin glycosides have been isolated from plants (Convolvulaceae), scattered reports on the isolation of related glycolipids from bacteria, fungi and yeasts can be found in the literature, some of which contain fatty acid components other than jalapinolic acid as the aglycon. For an extensive compilation of relevant literature on the isolation, structure and biological properties of such glycolipids see the following for leading references: a) K. S. Bisht, R. A. Gross, D. L. Kaplan, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 780 = 789; b) see also: G. Legler, *Phytochemistry* $1965, 4, 29 - 41; c$ H. Wagner, P. Kazmaier, Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 12, 3233 - 3236; d) T. Kawasaki, H. Okabe, I. Nakatsuka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1971, 19, 1144-1149 and references therein; e) T. Hirayama, I. Kato, FEBS Lett. 1982, 139, 81-85; f) L. Weber, J. Stach, G. Haufe, R. Hommel, H. P. Kleber, Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 206, 13-19; g) H. J. Asmer, S. Lang, F. Wagner, V. Wray, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1988, 65, 1460 - 1466.
- [16] Z.-H. Jiang, A. Geyer, R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2730 -2734; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2520-2524.
- [17] J. Furukawa, S. Kobayashi, M. Nomizu, N. Nishi, N. Sakairi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3453-3457.
- [18] For the synthesis of a related resin glycoside isolated from a yeast see: A. Fürstner, K. Radkowski, J. Grabowski, C. Wirtz, R. Mynott, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8758-8762.
- [19] For a short review see: J. Furukawa, N. Sakairi, Trends Glycosci. $Glycotechnol. 2001, 13, 1 - 10.$
- [20] a) D. P. Larson, C. H. Heathcock, J. Org. Chem. $1996, 61, 5208 5209$; b) D. P. Larson, C. H. Heathcock, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 8406-8418.
- [21] a) S.-F. Lu, Q. O'yang, Z.-W. Guo, B. Yu, Y.-Z. Hui, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2442-2444; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2344-2346; b) S.-F. Lu, Q. O'yang, Z.-W. Guo, B. Yu, Y.-Z. Hui, J. Org. $Chem.$ 1997, 62, 8400 -8405 .
- [22] a) A. Fürstner, T. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7814-7821; b) A. Fürstner, T. Müller, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 63, 424 - 425; c) C. W. Lehmann, A. Fürstner, T. Müller, Z. Kristallogr. 2000, 215, 114-117.
- [23] M. Ono, K. Nakagawa, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyahara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 41, 1925 - 1932.
- [24] For a preliminary communication see: A. Fürstner, F. Jeanjean, P. Razon, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 2203-2206; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. $2002, 41, 2097 - 2101.$
- [25] A. Fürstner, F. Jeanjean, P. Razon, C. Wirtz, R. Mynott, Chem. Eur. J. **2003**, 9, 320 – 326 following paper.
- [26] For another recent study on a complex glycolipid from this laboratory see: a) A. Fürstner, M. Albert, J. Mlynarski, M. Matheu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1168 - 1169; b) A. Fürstner, J. Mlynarski, M. Albert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10274-10275.
- [27] For reviews on RCM see: a) T. M. Trnka, R. H. Grubbs, Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 18-29; b) A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3140-3172; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012 - 3043; c) R. H. Grubbs, S. Chang, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413-4450; d) M. Schuster, S. Blechert, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2124-2144; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2037 - 2056; e) A. Fürstner, Top. Catal. 1997, 4, 285 - 299; f) S. K. Armstrong, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 371-388.
- [28] A. Fürstner, O. R. Thiel, C. W. Lehmann, Organometallics 2002, 21, $331 - 335.$
- [29] The effect of chelating substituents on the efficiency of RCM has been amply demonstrated by our group, cf.: a) A. Fürstner, K. Langemann, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3942 - 3943; b) A. Fürstner, K. Langemann, Synthesis 1997, 792-803; c) A. Fürstner, T. Gastner, H. Weintritt, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2361 - 2366; d) A. Fürstner, J. Grabowski, C. W. Lehmann, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8275-8280; e) A. Fürstner, G. Seidel, N. Kindler, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 8215-8230; f) A. Fürstner, K. Langemann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9130-9136; g) A. Fürstner, O. R. Thiel, N. Kindler, B. Bartkowska, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7990-7995; h) A. Fürstner, T. Dierkes, O. R. Thiel, G. Blanda, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 5286-5298; i) A. Fürstner, K. Radkowski, C. Wirtz, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann, R. Mynott, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7061 - 7069; j) A. Fürstner, Top. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 1, $37 - 72.$
- [30] For general discussions see: a) H. Paulsen, Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 184 - 201; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 155 - 173; b) R. R. Schmidt, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 6 (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Fleming), Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, pp. 33-61; c) K. Toshima, K. Tatsuta, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1503-1531.
- [31] T. W. Greene, P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [32] a) R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 213-236; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 212-235; b) R. R. Schmidt, W. Kinzy, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1994, 50, 21-123; c) R. R. Schmidt, K.-H. Jung, in Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry (Ed.: S. Hanessian), Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 283 - 312.
- [33] a) A. Fürstner, I. Konetzki, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 63 , $3072 3080$; b) A. Fürstner, I. Konetzki, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 15071-15078.
- [34] a) R. U. Lemieux, A. R. Morgan, Can. J. Chem. 1965, 43, 2199 2201; b) M. A. E. Shaban, R. W. Jeanloz, Carbohydr. Res. 1976, 52, 103-114; c) F. W. Lichtenthaler, T. Schneider-Adams, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6728 -6734 .
- [35] A. Fürstner, I. Konetzki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5721-5724.
- [36] a) A. B. Charette, J.-F. Marcoux, B. Côté, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 7215 ± 7218; b) W. J. Sanders, D. D. Manning, K. M. Koeller, L. L. Kiessling, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 16391-16422.
- [37] a) G. Excoffier, D. Gagnaire, J.-P. Utille, Carbohydr. Res. 1975, 39, 368 ± 373; b) A. Lubineau, E. Meyer, P. Place, Carbohydr. Res. 1992,

228, 191-203; c) U. E. Udodong, C. S. Rao, B. Fraser-Reid, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 4713-4724; d) J. R. Marino-Abernas, R. Bittman, A. Peters, E. Mayhew, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 3241-3247.

- [38] P. L. Barili, G. Berti, G. Catelani, C. Cini, F. D'Andrea, E. Mastrorilli, Carbohydr. Res. 1995, 278, 43-57.
- [39] a) H. Takahashi, T. Kawakita, M. Ohno, M. Yoshioka, S. Kobayashi, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 5691-5700; b) P. Knochel, in Active Metals: Preparation, Characterization, Applications (Ed.: A. Fürstner), VCH, Weinheim, 1996, pp. 191 - 236; c) P. Knochel, Synlett 1995, 393 - 403; d) R. Noyori, Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1994 , p. $255 - 297$.
- [40] J. Zhang, J. Mao, H. Chen, M. Cai, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, $2283 - 2290.$
- [41] S. David, in Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry (Ed.: S. Hanessian), Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 69-86.
- [42] This operationally simple protocol gives much better reproducible results than cleavage of the allyl group by a rearrangement of the double bond catalyzed by [RhCl(PPh₃)₃] followed by hydrolysis of the resulting enol ether with HgCl₂/HgO.
- [43] S. J. Danishefsky, M. T. Bilodeau, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 1483-1522; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1380-1419.
- [44] K. C. Nicolaou, H. J. Mitchell, N. F. Jain, T. Bando, R. Hughes, N. Winssinger, S. Natarajan, A. E. Koumbis, Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2648 -2667.
- [45] K. Ruda, J. Lindberg, P. J. Garegg, S. Oscarson, P. Konradsson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11067-11072.
- [46] This reaction must be quenched after 10 min; if the reaction mixture is kept for longer times, partial cleavage of the second chloroacetyl group at O-2 is observed.
- [47] While the desired product 40 is only poorly soluble in MeOH, the regioisomer 39 bearing the -OPMB group at the 2-position is readily dissolved in this medium. Crude 40 thus formed is further purified by recrystallization from MeCN, cf. Experimental Section.
- [48] Disaccharide 40 is only sparingly soluble in $Et₂O$ alone; therefore some THF has to be added to the reaction mixture.
- [49] Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka, O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, $885 - 888.$
- [50] For regioselective reactions of acetimidate donors with diols see: a) P. Zimmermann, U. Greilich, R. R. Schmidt, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1849 ± 1852; b) S. Canevari, D. Colombo, F. Compostella, L. Panza, F. Ronchetti, G. Russo, L. Toma, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 1469-1478.
- [51] For regioselective reactions of other glycosyl donors with diols see: a) V. Verez-Bencomo, M. T. Campos-Valdes, J. R. Marino-Albernas, V. Fernandez-Santana, M. Hernandez-Rensoli, C. S. Perez-Martinez, Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 217, 263 – 267; b) K. Oshima, Y. Aoyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2315-2316; c) H. Baumann, P. E. Jansson, L. Kenne, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 209 - 217; d) R. J. Tennant-Eyles, B. G. Davis, A. Fairbanks, Chem. Commun. 1999, 1037-1038.
- [52] J. R. Marino-Albernas, R. Bittman, A. Peters, E. Mayhew, J. Med. $Chem.$ 1996, 39, 3241 $-$ 3247.
- [53] a) D. Crich, L. B. L. Lim, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2209 -2214; b) M. Trumtel, P. Tavecchia, A. Vevrières, P. Sinay Carbohydr. Res. 1989, 191, 29-52; c) P. Boullanger, J. C. Martin, G. Descotes, J. $Heterocycl.$ Chem. 1975, 12, 91 - 93.
- [54] R. R. Schmidt, G. Effenberger, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1987, 825-831.

Received: August 6, 2002 [F 4322]